

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/677,948	10/02/2003		David Medwed	324/03716	9720	
7590 01/27/2005				EXAM	EXAMINER	
David Medwed				STERLING, AMY JO		
10 Lane Street Monsey, NY 10952				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				3632		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/677,948	MEDWED ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amy J. Sterling	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a repl ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	l1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	• • •	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, ,	,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appointy documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

This is a Restriction and an Election of Species for application number 10/677,948 filed on Safety Tether. Claims 1-49 are subject to restriction.

The reply filed on 10/14/05 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The election of Species has been omitted. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Please select one Fig. and the claims which are drawn to that Species.

Species I: Fig. 1 (Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 13 and 17)

Species II: Fig. 2A (Claims 1-4, 8, 9 and 11-13)

Species III: Fig. 2B (Claims 1-3 and 8-13)

Species IV: Fig. 3 (Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 13, 26 and 27)

Application/Control Number: 10/677,948

Art Unit: 3632

Species V: Fig. 4 (Claims 1-4, 8, 9 and 13-15)

Species VI: Fig. 5 (Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 13-15 and 21-25)

Species VII: Fig. 6 (Claims 1-9, 13, 16, 18-20 and 30-49)

Species VIII: Fig. 7 (Claims 1-9, 13, 16, 18-20 and 30-49)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 3632

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Sarah Medwed on 1/18/05 to discuss the reply to the election, but did not result in an oral election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 703-308-3271. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-305-3597 or 703-305-3598 (formal amendments) or 703-308-3519 (informal amendments/communications).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 703-308-2168.

7/18/05